| DATE 8/28/07 | APPLICATION NUMBER 10624333 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| DOC CODE PETIDECIPUBS | DOC DATE |

DELIVER THE ATTACHED FIFLE/DOCUMENT TO THE TC SCANNING CENTER

CONTRACTOR: THE ATTACHED FILE/DOCUMENT MUST BE INDEXED AND SCANNED INTO IFW WITHIN 8 WORK HOURS; UPLOADING OF THE SCANNED IMAGES SHOULD OCCUR NO LATER THAN 16 WORK HOURS FOLLOWING RECEIPT OF THIS REQUEST

AFTER SCANNING, ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE BOXED IN ACCORDANCE WITH INSTRUCTIONS

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No.

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO IL 60610

COPY MAILED

SEP 0 4 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Application No. 10/624,333 : OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT

Filed: 22 July, 2003 :

Attorney Docket No.

695-1095

This is in response to the REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT filed on 2 July, 2007. Pursuant to their duty of good faith and candor, applicants disclose that they believe the patent term adjustment calculation was done incorrectly.

The request for review of the initial determination of patent term adjustment (PTA) is **GRANTED**.

The Office has updated the PAIR screen to reflect that the correct Patent Term Adjustment determination at the time of the mailing of the Notice of Allowance is ONE HUNDRED THIRTY SIX (136) days. A copy of the updated PAIR screen, showing the correct determination, is enclosed.

On 2 April, 2007, the Office mailed the Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) in the above-identified application. The Notice stated that the patent term adjustment to date is 267 days. Applicants state, as a basis for their assertion that the patent term adjustment calculation was done incorrectly, the fact that a revised Appeal Brief was filed on 22 May, 2006.

A review of the application history confirms a basis for an entry of a period of reduction. 37 CFR 1.704(c) provides, in pertinent part, that:

Circumstances that constitute a failure of the applicant to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application also include the following circumstances, which will result in the following reduction of the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 to the extent that the periods are not overlapping:

(7) Submission of a reply having an omission (\$1.135(c)), in which case the period of adjustment set forth in \$1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date the reply having an omission was filed and ending on the date that the reply or other paper correcting the omission was filed;

In this instance, after the filing of an Appeal Brief on 27 February, 2006, a Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37) was mailed, stating the brief filed on 27 February, 2006, was defective, and that an amended brief was required. In response, on 22 May, 2006, a revised Appeal Brief was filed to correct the deficiencies in the original brief. Accordingly, the PTA should have been reduced by 83 days, the number of days beginning on the day after the date the initial reply having an omission was filed, 27 February, 2006, and ending on the date that the reply or other such paper correcting the omission was filed, 22 May, 2006.

Further, it is noted that 48 days of Office delay were granted because the non-final Office action mailed on 14 August, 2006, was calculated as being mailed four (4) months and 48 days from the filing of the Appeal Brief mailed on 27 February, 2006. This calculation is incorrect in view of the fact that the Appeal Brief filed on 27 February, 2006, was defective, and a new brief required. Accordingly, the non-final Office action mailed on 14 August, 2006, was mailed within four (4) months of the filing of the revised Appeal Brief filed on 22 May, 2006, so the patent term adjustment of 48 days of Office delay is unwarranted.

In view thereof, the correct determination of PTA at the time of the mailing of the notice of allowance is ONE HUNDRED THIRTY-SIX (136) days.

As this letter was submitted as an advisement to the Office of an error in Applicant's favor, the Office will not assess the \$200.00 application fee under 37 CFR 1.705(b). The Office thanks applicant for their good faith and candor in bringing this to the attention of the Office.

The application is being forwarded to the Office of Patent Publication for issuance of the application. The patent term adjustment indicated on the patent (as shown on the Issue Notification mailed about three weeks prior to patent issuance) will include any additional adjustment accrued both for Office delay in issuing the patent more than four months after payment of the issue fee and satisfaction of all outstanding requirements, and for the Office taking in excess of three years to issue the patent (to the extent that the three-year period does not overlap with periods already accorded).

Telephone inquiries specific to this matter should be directed to Senior Petitions Attorney Douglas I. Wood at (571) 272-3231.

Naticy Johnson

Serior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

Enclosure: Copy of adjusted PAIR calculation

Day: Wednesday



PALM INTRANET

Date: 8/29/2007 Time: 15:24:27

| PTA Calculations for Application: 10/624333 | | | | | | |
|---|------------|-------------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| Application Filing Date: | 07/22/2003 | PTO Delay (PTO): | 273 | | | |
| Issue Date of Patent: | | Three Years: | 0 | | | |
| Pre-Issue Petitions: | 0 | Applicant Delay (APPL): | 6 | | | |
| Post-Issue Petitions: | | Total PTA (days): | 136 | | | |
| PTO Delay Adjustment: - | -131 | | | | | |

| <u> </u> | PTO Dela | y Adjustment: -131 | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|--|-----|------|-------|--|--|
| | File Contents History | | | | | | |
| Number | Date | Contents Description | PTO | APPL | START | | |
| 55 | 08/29/2007 | ADJUSTMENT OF PTA CALCULATION BY PTO | | 83 | | | |
| 54 | 08/29/2007 | ADJUSTMENT OF PTA CALCULATION BY PTO | | 48 | | | |
| 42 | 04/02/2007 | MAIL NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE | | | | | |
| 41 | 04/02/2007 | MAIL FORMAL DRAWINGS REQUIRED | 16 | | 34 | | |
| 40 | 02/05/2007 | ISSUE REVISION COMPLETED | | | | | |
| 39 | 02/05/2007 | FORMAL DRAWINGS REQUIRED | | | | | |
| 38 | 02/05/2007 | NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE DATA VERIFICATION COMPLETED | | | · | | |
| 37 | 02/05/2007 | NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY | | | | | |
| 36 | 01/31/2007 | CASE DOCKETED TO EXAMINER IN GAU | | | | | |
| 35 | 11/30/2006 | DATE FORWARDED TO EXAMINER | | | | | |
| 34 | 11/17/2006 | RESPONSE AFTER NON-FINAL ACTION | | 3 | 31 | | |
| 33 | 11/17/2006 | OATH OR DECLARATION FILED (INCLUDING SUPPLEMENTAL) | | | , | | |
| | | CASE DOCKETED TO EXAMINER IN GAU | | | | | |
| · 31 | 08/14/2006 | MAIL NON-FINAL REJECTION | 48 | | 25 | | |
| 30 | 08/07/2006 | NON-FINAL REJECTION | | | | | |
| 29 | 06/01/2006 | DATE FORWARDED TO EXAMINER | | | | | |
| 28 | 05/22/2006 | APPEAL BRIEF FILED | | | · | | |
| 27 | 05/09/2006 | NOTICE DEFECTIVE APPEAL BRIEF | | | | | |
| 26 | 03/11/2006 | DATE FORWARDED TO EXAMINER | | | | | |
| 25.1 | 02/27/2006 | DEFECTIVE / INCOMPLETE APPEAL BRIEF FILED | | | | | |
| 25 | 02/27/2006 | APPEAL BRIEF FILED | | | | | |
| 24 | 12/30/2005 | NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED | | 1 | 23 | | |
| 23 | 09/29/2005 | MAIL FINAL REJECTION (PTOL - 326) | | | | | |
| 22 | 09/27/2005 | FINAL REJECTION | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| 21 | 07/27/2005 | DATE FORWARDED TO EXAMINER |] | | |
|-----|---------------|--|-----|---|----|
| 20 | | RESPONSE AFTER NON-FINAL ACTION | | 2 | 16 |
| 19 | 02/04/2005 | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED | | | |
| 18 | | REFERENCE CAPTURE ON IDS | | | |
| 17 | 01/21/2005 | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED | | | |
| 16 | 04/19/2005 | MAIL NON-FINAL REJECTION | 209 | | -1 |
| 15 | 04/18/2005 | NON-FINAL REJECTION | | | |
| 14 | 03/31/2005 | IFW TSS PROCESSING BY TECH CENTER COMPLETE | | | |
| 13 | 03/31/2005 | CASE DOCKETED TO EXAMINER IN GAU | | | |
| 12 | 1103/10/20041 | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED | | | |
| 11 | 03/10/2004 | OATH OR DECLARATION FILED (INCLUDING SUPPLEMENTAL) | | | |
| 10 | 03/10/2004 | REFERENCE CAPTURE ON IDS | | | |
| 8 | 02/09/2004 | APPLICATION DISPATCHED FROM OIPE | | | |
| 7 | 02/09/2004 | APPLICATION IS NOW COMPLETE | | | |
| 6 | 01/31/2004 | CASE CLASSIFIED BY OIPE | | | · |
| 4 | 10/20/2003 | CLEARED BY L&R (LARS) | | | |
| 3 | 10/09/2003 | INTENTIONALLY REFERRED BY OIPE OR L&R | | | |
| 2 · | 08/24/2003 | IFW SCAN & PACR AUTO SECURITY REVIEW | | | |
| 1 | 07/22/2003 | INITIAL EXAM TEAM NN | | | |

Search Another: Application#

Search

EXPLANATION OF PTA CALCULATION

EXPLANATION OF PTE CALCULATION

To go back use Back button on your browser toolbar.

Back to PALM | ASSIGNMENT | OASIS | Home page